

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CALIFORNIANS FOR DISABILITY)
RIGHTS, et al.)

Plaintiff(s),)

v.)

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF)
TRANSPORTATION, et al.)

Defendant(s).)
_____)


No. C06-5125 SBA (BZ)

SECOND DISCOVERY ORDER

On June 26, 2007, I conducted a telephonic conference to address plaintiffs' motion to expedite briefing and hearing schedule [Docket No. 38], and to give the parties some guidance as to how they might resolve the disputes at issue in plaintiffs' motion to compel [Docket No. 27]. All interested parties were present, represented by counsel. For the reasons stated during the conference, **IT IS ORDERED** that plaintiffs' motion to expedite is **DENIED**. The briefing and hearing schedule contained in my June 15 Order [Docket No. 37] remains in effect. The parties are encouraged to attempt to resolve

1 the present dispute in accordance with the views expressed by
2 the court during the conference.

3 Dated: June 27, 2007

4 
5 Bernard Zimmerman
6 United States Magistrate Judge

7 G:\BZALL\REFS\CALIFORNIANS FOR DISABILITY RIGHTS\second disc order.wpd
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28